ABSTRACT

Objectives: Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a common endocrine disease with serious effects on multiple organs including the kidneys. This study aimed to investigate the subtle effects of type 2 DM (T2DM) on the kidneys.

Methods: This was a prospective case-control study conducted in the Radiology Department of University of Science and Technology Hospital (USTH) campus, Sana’a, Republic of Yemen, from 1 January 2020 to 31 November 2020. The renal length (RL), renal width (RW), resistive index (RI), and pulsatility index (PI) were prospectively measured in patients with T2DM and healthy controls. The results were compared using the independent samples t-test. Comparisons were likewise performed between patients with controlled DM and patients with uncontrolled DM.

Results: A total of hundred individuals, 50 diabetic patients and 50 controls, were enrolled in this study. Their mean age was 54 ± 7.88 years (range: 40–75 years). The RL, RI, and PI of both kidneys were significantly higher in T2DM than in the control group. Moreover, the RL, RI, PI and creatinine were slightly higher in patients with uncontrolled than in those with controlled DM.

Conclusion: T2DM has significant accentuating effects on the RL, RI and PI associated with low effective renal plasma flow, even before acute kidney injury or chronic kidney disease diagnosis, which may be attenuated by careful regulation of DM. Ultrasound Doppler is a highly valuable imaging modality for evaluating the subtle effects of T2DM on kidney dimensions and blood flow. The RI can be implemented as a tool for the early diagnosis of kidney disease and contribute to slowing the disease progression and preventing renal failure.
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INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a common disease with serious effects on multiple organs, including the kidneys. DM leads to micro- and macrovascular kidney disease and increased susceptibility to infection. It predisposes patients to renal infection, renal or perirenal abscess, or emphysematous pyelonephritis. In long term, it can lead to diabetic nephropathy (NP), renal papillary necrosis, or renal artery stenosis (RAS)."
is the imaging modality of choice for determining the cause of acute kidney injury (AKI) and understanding the renal pathophysiological characteristics.\textsuperscript{2,3}

It is a non-invasive, inexpensive, widely available, and radiation-free imaging modality that can determine the morphology of the kidney by measuring the renal length (RL), renal width (RW), renal volume and parenchymal thickness. It can also determine kidney function by measuring the RL, RW, parenchymal thickness, and parenchymal echogenicity.\textsuperscript{4} Bipolar RL is a reliable measure of kidney size in both healthy adults and CKD patients.\textsuperscript{5,6}

Duplex US can help determine renal function by identifying large renal arterial and venous abnormalities and the renal resistive index (RI), and pulsatility index (PI). The renal RI is a recently suggested method for assessing renal perfusion, detecting RAS, evaluating the risk of progression in CKD, and predicting the renal outcome in AKI. In diabetic CKD, a higher renal RI correlates with vascular and interstitial kidney damage and is a reliable predictor of disease progression.\textsuperscript{7}

The RI and PI are calculated from the blood flow velocity in renal arteries during the cardiac cycle and used as measurements of downstream resistance in the arteries to detect peripheral vascular diseases. They increase with higher renal vascular resistance and they are useful in the investigation and monitoring of RAS. High RI and PI are associated with low effective renal plasma flow.\textsuperscript{8}

Because of lack of previous studies about the subtle changes of the kidneys of DM patients before clearly diagnosing diabetic NP, this study was designed to evaluate early subtle changes of the kidneys of DM patients with no obvious kidney disease, by comparing their renal measurements to those of non-diabetic individuals.

**METHODS**

This was a prospective case-control study involving DM patients and non-DM controls conducted in the Ultrasound unit of the Radiology Department of University of Science and Technology Hospital (USTH) campus, Sana’a, Republic of Yemen, from 1 January 2020 to 31 November 2020.

Type-2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) patients referring for US imaging of the kidneys who were more than 40 years old and provided informed consent were enrolled in this study. Patients with known CKD or AKI, patients on haemodialysis, kidney transplant patients, and solitary kidney patients were excluded.

Ultrasound (US) and Doppler were performed with the same Ultrasound machine (Siemens Acuson X600 Ultrasound System) using the standard gray-scale B-mode with a curved array transducer of 2–5 MHz and a standard protocol. The gain and time gain compensation of US images were adjusted manually. All participants were examined by the same radiologist with five years’ post doctorate experience in US Doppler imaging.
The length of the right and left kidneys was measured in the visually estimated largest longitudinal section of each kidney. The width of both kidneys was measured in sections perpendicular to the longitudinal axis (Fig. 1). The renal RI was measured either automatically or manually (Fig. 2) using pulsed Doppler Ultrasound in the arcuate arteries at the level of the cortico-medullary junction or in the interlobar arteries according to the following equation:

\[ RI = \frac{\text{peak systolic velocity} - \text{end diastolic velocity}}{\text{peak systolic velocity}} \quad (1) \]

The renal PI was measured according to the following equation:

\[ PI = \frac{\text{peak systolic velocity} - \text{end diastolic velocity}}{\text{mean systolic velocity}} \quad (2) \]

The parenchymal echogenicity of both kidneys was assessed by applying low tissue harmonic. Creatinine was investigated only in DM patients. The body mass index (BMI) of the participants was measured according to the following equation:

\[ BMI = \frac{\text{body weight (kg)}}{\text{body height (m)}^2} \quad (3) \]

**Statistical Analysis:** Data analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 25, (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Means and
standard deviations were calculated for age, BMI, RL, RW, RI, PI, creatinine and duration of DM. The renal measurements in the DM patient group were compared to those in the non-DM (control) group using the independent samples t-test. Comparisons were likewise performed between patients with controlled DM and patients with uncontrolled DM according to the last measurements of haemoglobin A1c (HbA1c). A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethical Approval: This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of USTH under a protocol issued on 26-4-2019. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. Anonymity was safeguarded during and after the study.

RESULTS

A total of one hundred individuals, 50 DM patients and 50 controls, were enrolled in the study. Their mean age was 54 ± 7.88 years (range: 40–75 years). Females accounted for 74% and males accounted for 26% of the participants. The mean BMI was 29.51 ± 7.32 in the patient group and 25.65 ± 6.05 in the control group.

The RL, RI, and PI of both the right and left kidneys were significantly higher in the DM than in the control group (Table-I, Fig.3 and 4). Moreover, the RI and PI of both the right and left kidneys were significantly higher, and creatinine was also higher in patients with uncontrolled DM than in those with controlled DM. There was no significant difference in BMI between patients with controlled DM and those with uncontrolled DM. The duration of DM had no effect on DM control status (Table-II).

DISCUSSION

DM is a chronic metabolic disease associated with serious complications in various organs. Metabolic changes in DM may lead to glomerular hypertrophy and sclerosis, tubulointerstitial inflammation and fibrosis, and NP onset and progression with many structural and functional changes in the kidneys.11 This study was conducted to determine early subtle morphological and haemodynamic changes in the kidneys of T2DM patients with no obvious acute or chronic renal disease by comparing their renal measurements with those of non-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RKL</td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>106.0400</td>
<td>10.43143</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>1.10610-8.41390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>101.2800</td>
<td>7.79073</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LKL</td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>108.0000</td>
<td>12.45891</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>2.32594-10.59406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>101.5400</td>
<td>7.85899</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RKW</td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>44.9200</td>
<td>6.50852</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>-0.40193-5.24193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>42.5000</td>
<td>7.6452</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LKW</td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>48.3600</td>
<td>7.58559</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>-0.90923-4.66923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>46.4800</td>
<td>6.42139</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RKRI</td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>0.7074</td>
<td>0.07575</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.04364-0.10396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.6336</td>
<td>0.07620</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LKRI</td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>0.6986</td>
<td>0.08480</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.03690-0.09670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.6318</td>
<td>0.06448</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RKPI</td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>1.6912</td>
<td>0.51654</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.20080-0.55840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.3116</td>
<td>0.37291</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LKPI</td>
<td>DM</td>
<td>1.5646</td>
<td>0.53666</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.13710-0.49332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.2494</td>
<td>0.32978</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table shows significant differences in RL, RI, and PI between DM patients and normal individuals.

diabetic individuals. The comparisons revealed significant differences in RL, RI, and PI in both kidneys.

In this study, the BMI was significantly higher in the DM than in the control group. This finding is consistent with Friedman et al., who reported a strong relationship between T2DM and obesity, which is a risk factor for kidney disease and a leading cause of CKD. The relationship was explained by D’Agati et al., who found that the incidence of obesity-related NP is increasing with the increasing obesity rates worldwide. Obesity increases the glomerular filtration rate (GFR), renal blood flow, filtration fraction, and sodium reabsorption in renal tubules, leading to glomerulus enlargement and, consequently, kidney enlargement. Moreover, Min et al. reported a close relationship between obesity and
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Fig.4: Boxplot shows significant increase of both a) left and b) right kidney length of DM patients than that of control group. Boxplot shows significant increase of renal resistive index (RI) of both c) left and d) right kidney of DM patients than that of control group. Boxplot shows significant increase of pulsatility index (PI) of both e) left and f) right kidney of DM patients than that of control group.
Table-II: Right and left kidney measurements in patients with controlled DM and those with uncontrolled DM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>95% confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RKL</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>104.1429</td>
<td>5.27347</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>-8.87429-2.37212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uncontrolled</td>
<td>107.3939</td>
<td>10.18866</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LKL</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>104.1429</td>
<td>8.35521</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>-13.83499-2.96919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uncontrolled</td>
<td>109.5758</td>
<td>13.10541</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RKW</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>42.4286</td>
<td>8.69592</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>-11.99280-4.18328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uncontrolled</td>
<td>46.3333</td>
<td>5.70453</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LKW</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>49.2857</td>
<td>7.38725</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>-6.88663-7.09442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uncontrolled</td>
<td>49.1818</td>
<td>7.46431</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RKRRi</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>0.6700</td>
<td>0.08228</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>-0.11319-0.01016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uncontrolled</td>
<td>0.7215</td>
<td>0.06351</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LKRRi</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>0.6571</td>
<td>0.06726</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>-0.11407-0.01865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uncontrolled</td>
<td>0.7048</td>
<td>0.09605</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RKPI</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>1.3571</td>
<td>0.39076</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>-0.83800--0.06347-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uncontrolled</td>
<td>1.8079</td>
<td>0.57161</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LKPI</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>1.2243</td>
<td>0.38004</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>-0.83313--0.06860-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uncontrolled</td>
<td>1.6752</td>
<td>0.59678</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>6.1429</td>
<td>8.45436</td>
<td>0.412</td>
<td>-10.85833-4.95050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uncontrolled</td>
<td>9.0968</td>
<td>6.55416</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>0.7950</td>
<td>0.24023</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>-1.01294-0.11894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uncontrolled</td>
<td>1.2420</td>
<td>1.29276</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>30.2886</td>
<td>5.07164</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>-5.15133-5.24981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uncontrolled</td>
<td>30.3293</td>
<td>8.19290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


T2DM, which is associated with a higher incidence of CKD. Weight reduction improves DM and renal outcomes and reduces the risk of kidney disease.\textsuperscript{14}

In this study, we found a significantly greater RL in the T2DM than in the control group. This result is consistent with Umanath and Lewis, who found that kidney size and weight increase by an average 15\% in DM patients and remain increased even after a decrease in kidney function.\textsuperscript{13} It is also consistent with Zerbini et al., who reported that renal hypertrophy and hyperfiltration become manifest soon after the onset of type 1 DM (T1DM), and persistent renal hypertrophy and a reduction in GFR precede microalbuminuria of diabetic NP in T1DM.\textsuperscript{16} Renal hypertrophy in diabetic patients has been attributed to thickening of the glomerular basement membrane and diabetic macroangiopathy with arterial hyalinosis in the afferent and efferent arterioles, which leads to glomerular hyperfiltration.\textsuperscript{17}

We also found that the renal RI was significantly higher in the DM than in the control group. This result is in line with Afsar and Elsurer, who found that the RI is increased in most studies on T2DM.\textsuperscript{18} The RI is a valuable diagnostic tool for DM patients developing diabetic NP and strongly correlates with serum creatinine and albuminuria.\textsuperscript{19} The RI obtained in the intrarenal arteries is an indirect predictor of RAS with high sensitivity and specificity.\textsuperscript{20} The results of our study are consistent with a similar previous study that reported a significantly higher RI and renal volume in T2DM patients than in healthy individuals and confirmed...
the presence detectable haemodynamic changes on US imaging even in patients with normal or high GFR and no albuminuria.²¹ Bruno et al. reported that the dynamic US evaluation of the renal RI is an early detector of vascular alterations in T2DM even before the occurrence of microalbuminuria.²² Furthermore, Delsart et al. found that an elevated renal RI of more than 0.7 is an independent predictor of a first renal or cardiovascular event in T2DM patients.²³

In this study, we found no significant difference in the RI between controlled and uncontrolled DM patients. This was explained by Umanath and Lewis, who reported that kidney size and weight increase by an average 15% in DM patients and remain increased even after a decrease in kidney function.¹⁵ In contrast, the renal RI differed significantly between controlled and uncontrolled DM patients. This is consistent with Chirinos and Townsend, who found that a high RI is associated with high HbA1c levels and a low GFR.²⁴ HbA1c is a reliable measure of chronic glycaemia. It correlates with the risk of long-term complications and is considered the test of choice for monitoring DM control.²⁵,²⁶ This elucidates and highlights the importance of controlling DM to improve renal perfusion and subsequently reduce renal function complications.

A previous study reported that creatinine is a useful biochemical measure in approximating the GFR.²⁷ In our study, serum creatinine was slightly higher in patients with uncontrolled DM than in those with controlled DM. This is indicative of the importance of controlling DM for the preservation of a normal GFR and renal function.

**Limitations of the Study:** A limitation of this study is that creatinine levels were not measured in the control group. Therefore, creatinine comparisons between the DM and control groups were not performed. Another limitation is that renal artery diameter measurements could not be performed in all patients and controls due to technically difficulties in measuring it. Therefore, such comparisons were not performed. Further studies with comparing creatinine and diameter of renal arteries in the DM and control groups are recommended.

**CONCLUSION**

T2DM has a significant accentuating effects on RL, RI and PI of the kidneys even before diagnosing AKI or CKD, that may be attenuated by careful regulation of DM which can be slowing disease progression and preventing renal failure. Ultrasound Doppler is a highly valuable imaging modality for evaluating the subtle effects of T2DM on kidney dimensions and blood flow. RI can be implemented as an important tool in early diagnosis of kidney disease.
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